

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/023,109	12/14/2001	Jakob Nielsen	58683-00002USPX	2393	
75	590 12/19/2003		EXAM	INER	
Brian D. Walker, Esq. Jenkens and Gilchrist, P.C.			LAO, I	LAO, LUN S	
3200 Fountain Place 1445 Ross Ave.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2643	6	
Dallas, TX 75	5202		DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	Office Action Commence	10/023,109	NIELSEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lun-See Lao	2643			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repulperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ediparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the light of the lig	nely filed  /s will be considered timely.  I the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 E	December 2001.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
a) * \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.  1. The translation of the foreign language processing the process of the priority document is made of a claim for domest acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic document is made of a claim for domestic document is made of a claim for document is made of a cla	is have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not received in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120).	ion No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  in an Application Data Sheet.  eeived.  and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmen	• •					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Introduction

1. Claims 1-43 of U.S. application 10/023,109 filed on 12/14/2001 are presented for examination.

### Claim Objections

Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 22 recites "(e)" on line11, which appears to be --- (c) ---. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 16-20, 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chabries (US PAT. 5,029,217).

Consider claims 16, 43 Chabries teaches an apparatus for equalizing output signals from a plurality of signal paths, the apparatus comprising of:

(a) means for identifying a transfer function(see fig.5, (502,504)) for each of the signal paths;

Art Unit: 2643

(b) means for determining a filtering function (501,505) for each signal path such that a

product of the transfer function and the filtering function is a selected function; and

(c) means (503) for applying the filtering function to the corresponding signal path, thereby correcting the transfer function of the signal path to the selected function to equalize (503) the output signals from the signal paths (see col.12 line 63-col.13 line 53).

As to claims 1 and 42, these are method claims of claims 16 and 43 and thus note the rejections of claims 16 and 43, respectively.

Consider claims 17-18, Chabries teaches an apparatus of the selected function is the transfer function (see fig.5, (502,504)) for one of the signal paths (see col.11 line 61-col.12 line 63); and an apparatus of the filtering function is determined such that a product of the transfer function and the filtering function is a common factor (see fig.5, (501,505) and col.11 line 61-col.12 line 63).

As to claims 2-3, these are method claims of claims 17-18 and thus note the rejections of claims 17-18, respectively.

Consider claims 19-20 Chabries teaches an apparatus the filtering function applying means comprises:

- (a) a filter means (see fig.5, 501, 505) provided to the signal path; and
- (b) means (503) for applying the filtering function to the filter means of its corresponding signal path, thereby equalizing (503) output signals from the filter

Art Unit: 2643

means of the signal paths (see col.12 line 63-col.13 line 53); and an apparatus of the transfer function identifying means comprises:

- (a) means (see fig.3, 301) for providing a sample signal to the signal path to produce a sample output signal through the signal path; and
- (b) means (301) for processing the sample signal and the sample output signal to identify the transfer function for its corresponding signal path (see col.6 line 18-57).

As to claims 4-5, these are method claims of claims 19-20 and thus note the rejections of claims 19-20, respectively.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6-11, 15, 21-24, 26, 28-29, 31 and 33-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chabries (US PAT. 5,029,217) in view of Chabries (US PAT. 4,658,426).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 21, Chabries(217) does not clearly teach an apparatus of the signal path comprises (a) a microphone for converting a sound signal to an electrical analog signal; and (b) an analog-to-digital converter coupled to the microphone for converting the electrical analog signal into a digital signal, wherein said transfer function identifying means comprises:

- (a) means for providing a noise sample to the microphone to produce a sample output signal through the signal path; and
- (b) means for processing the noise sample and the sample output signal to identify the transfer function of its corresponding signal path.

However, Chabries(426) teaches an apparatus of the signal path comprises (a) a microphone (see fig.9, 2) for converting a sound signal to an electrical analog signal; and (b) an analog-to-digital converter (4) coupled to the microphone (2) for converting the electrical analog signal into a digital signal, wherein said transfer function identifying means comprises:

- (a) means (10) for providing a noise sample to the microphone (2) to produce a sample output signal through the signal path; and
- (b) means (2) for processing the noise sample and the sample output signal to identify the transfer function (40,42) of its corresponding signal path (col.13 line 23-col.14 line 18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Chabries (217) and Chabries (426)

Art Unit: 2643

to provide a device adapted to filter background noise from speech in real time so as to improve speech intelligibility.

As to claim 6, there is method claim of claim 21 and thus note the rejection of claim 21.

Consider claims 22-23, Chabries(426) teaches an apparatus according of the signal path comprises (a) a microphone for converting a sound signal to an electrical analog signal; and (b) an analog-to-digital converter coupled to the microphone for converting the electrical analog signal into a digital signal, wherein said transfer function identifying means comprises:

- (a) means (speaker) for acoustically providing a noise sample to the microphone (see fig.1, 54,56) with a propagation time delay to produce a first output processed through the signal path;
- (b) means (speaker) for providing a second output corresponding to the noise sample with the propagation time delay; and
- (e) means (speaker) for processing the first output (54,56) and the second output (54,56) to identify the transfer function (adaptive filter, 52) of its corresponding signal path (see col.4 lines 13-62) and an apparatus of the noise sample providing means comprises:
  - (a) means (see fig.1, 54,56) for generating a first noise signal; and
- (b) means inherently for converting the first digital noise signal into said noise sample (col.4 lines 15-62).

Art Unit: 2643

As to claims 7-8, these are method claims of claims 22-23 and thus note the rejections of claims 22-23, respectively.

Consider claim 24 Chabries (426) teaches an apparatus of a second output providing means comprises:

- (a) means for generating a second digital noise signal (y), the second digital noise signal inherently being synchronized with said first digital noise signal and having properties corresponding to said first digital noise signal (x);
- (b) means for delaying the second digital noise signal (y) by same amount of time as said propagation delay time; and
- (c) means for compensating the conversion factor (weighted) of said first digital noise signal (x) into said noise sample (see col.2 line 30-col.3 line 56).

As to claim 9, there is method claim of claim 24 and thus note the rejection of claim 24, respectively.

Consider claims 26, 28 Chabries (426) teaches an apparatus of the converting means includes a digital-to-analog converter (see fig.9 8) and a loud speaker (10 and see col.13 line 24-54) and the transfer function (see fig.9, 40, 42) of the signal path is a transfer function of said microphone (2 and see col.13 line 24-54).

As to claim 10, there is method claim of claim 28 and thus note the rejection of claim 28.

Consider claims 29, 31 Chabries (426) teaches an apparatus the propagation

Art Unit: 2643

delay time is selected to be integer multiple of said first noise sample (see col.2 line 53-col.3 line56); and the said first and second digital noise signals (x,y) are a random noise signal (background noise and see col.2 line 30-col.3 line56).

As to claims 11 and 15, these are method claims of claims 29 and 31 and thus note the rejections of claims 29 and 31, respectively.

Consider claims 36-38 Chabries (426) teaches an apparatus is comprising a listening device; and hearing aid, and headset (earphone)(see col.13 lines 23-54).

As to claims 33-35, these are method claims of claims 36-38 and thus note the rejections of claims 36-38, respectively.

Consider claims 39-41 Chabries (217) teaches a hearing aid (a listening device and a headset) comprise a signal equalization (see fig.5, (503)) filter (see col.12 line 64-col.13 line 6).

7. Claims 12-13, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chabries (US PAT. 5,029,217) as modified by Chabries (US PAT.4,658,426) as applied to claims 21, 22-23 above, and further in view of Schneider "a dual-channel MLS-based test system for hearing-aid characterization".

Consider claims 25 and 27 Chabries fails to teach an apparatus of the first digital noise signal providing means is a maximum length sequence generator; and the second digital noise providing means includes a maximum length sequence generator.

However, Schneider teaches an apparatus of the first (first channel) digital noise signal providing means is a maximum length sequence generator; and the second

Art Unit: 2643

(second channel) digital noise providing means includes a maximum length sequence generator (see pp 583-593).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Chabries into Schneider provide the hearing enhancement apparatus process signal faster.

As to claims 12-13, these are method claims of claims 25 and 27 and thus note the rejections of claims 25 and 27, respectively.

8. Claims 14 and 30, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chabries (US PAT. 5,029,217) as modified by Chabries (US PAT.4,658,426) as applied to claims 21, 22-24 above, and further in view of Fang (US PAT. 6,480,610).

Consider claims 30, Chabries fails to teach an apparatus of the first and second digital noise signals are a white noise signal.

However, Fang teaches an apparatus of the first (see fig.5, 588, pick up white noise from 586) and second (510 microphone picks up white noise from 586) digital noise signals are a white noise signal (see fig.5 583, (white noise generator)) (see col.7 line 25-col.8 line 29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Chabries into Fang provide the most suitable type of noise for training.

As to claim 14, there is method claim of claim 30 and thus note the rejection of claim 30.

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 32, Fang teaches an apparatus of the first (see fig.5, 588, pick up white noise from 586) and second (510 microphone picks up white noise from 586) digital noise signals are provided by a single source. (see fig.5 583, (white noise generator)) (see col.7 line 25-col.8 line 29).

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brennan (US PAT. 6,480,610) is cited to show other listening device.
- 10: Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lao,Lun-See whose telephone number is (703) 305-2259 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2643

Lao, Lun-See Patent Examiner US Patent and Trademark Office Crystal Park 2 (703305-2259

> DUC NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 11